

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		· · · ·				
APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,135	09/09/2003		Chun-Gyoo Lee	6161.0010.C2	1907	
7	590	06/28/2005		EXAMINER		
McGuireWoo	ds LLP		ALEMU, EPHREM			
Suite 1800						
1750 Tysons B	oulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
McLean, VA			2821			
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Н.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/657,135	LEE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ephrem Alemu	2821	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1 .
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	April 2005.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	;
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>27-32</u> is/are pending in the application			
د.ر <u>س</u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	iwn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>27-32</u> is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
·		or election requirement.		
_	ion Papers			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		outher Englished	
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	· ·	10
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		·	1).
•	• •	Naminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form F 10-132.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been r uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
		12 138.00	· · · · · · · · ·	
Au - 1				
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary /PTO-413\	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-092) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·	

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 27-28, 29-30, 31 and 32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,617,798. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and the issued patent claim a flat panel display, wherein the flat panel display including a back plate, a gate electrode, a cathode electrode, a planar field emission source formed on the cathode electrode, a grid plate (mesh electrode) having a plurality of apertures corresponding to a pixel area, and a faceplate spaced from the grid plate and having a screen formed on one surface thereof facing the mesh plate. The only obvious difference between the instant application and the issued patent is that the instant application claims the backplate as the "first substrate" and the faceplate as the "second substrate" which is an inherent and/or obvious variation to the "backplate" or the "faceplate" as claimed in the issued patent '798.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ephrem Alemu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2821

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EA 6-20-05

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800